



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

fw

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,091	01/15/2002	Gene Harlow Johnson	RCA 89650	5994

7590 03/12/2007
Joseph S Tripoli
Thomson Multimedia Licensing Inc
PO Box 5312
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312

EXAMINER

CHOWDHURY, SUMAIYA A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2623

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/031,091

Applicant(s)

JOHNSON ET AL.

Examiner

Sumaiya A. Chowdhury

Art Unit

2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 February 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/1/07 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-2, 5-6, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reitmeier (WO 99/16247).

As for claim 1, Reitmeier teaches in a video processing apparatus (Fig. 1) having at least two video inputs (15A & 15B – Fig. 1), each video input able to receive a video signal from a respective one of a plurality of input sources (10A & 10B – Fig. 1; p. 6, lines 4-20) and coupled to a display device, a method of performing a channel search comprising:

determining by a user a currently selected video input from at least two video inputs (Referring to Fig. 1, the user inputs to scan channels. This process continues ...or to change the physical channel using the appropriate tuner. – p. 8, lines 12-30. Therefore, based on the user input to scan channels, the video from one of the two separate tuners is selected.);

detecting available channels from various possible channels received from the source connected to only the currently selected video input (p. 6, lines 14-17); and

updating a channel list of channels available for the currently selected video input (p. 15, lines 26-27).

As for claims 2, 6, and 10, Reitmeier teaches detecting available channels comprises detecting only digital channels (mpeg; p. 5, lines 30-31, p. 4, line 4).

As for claim 5, Reitmeier teaches a video processing apparatus coupled to a display device and having at least two RF video inputs (15A & 15B – Fig. 1; p. 6, lines 4-20), each RF video input able to receive a video signal from a respective one of a

plurality of input sources (10A & 10B – Fig. 1) and being couplable to a respective source of television signals, the video processing apparatus comprising:

means (20 – Fig. 1) for selecting one of the RF video inputs (15A & 15B – Fig. 1) as a television signal source for processing (p. 5, lines 22-29, p. 6, lines 5-14);

means (30 – Fig. 1) for detecting available channels received from the source connected to only the RF video input selected by the means for selecting (p. 6, lines 14-17, p. 8, lines 12-18);

means (70 – Fig. 1) for updating a channel list of channels available for the selected RF video input (p. 15, lines 26-27).

Claim 9 contains the limitations of claim 5 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to that claim. Claim 9 additionally calls for the following:

means (15B – Fig. 1) for receiving a first plurality of channels of television signals from a first television signal input (p. 5, line 22 – p. 6, line 4);

means (15A – Fig. 1) for receiving a second plurality of channels of television signals from a second television signal input (p. 5, line 22 – p. 6, line 4);

means (display device) for displaying video data associated with one of the plurality of channels of television signals from either the first and second television signal inputs (p. 7, lines 10-11);

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 3-4, 7-8, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reitmeier in view of Wugofski (WO 99/35833).

As for claims 3, 7, and 11, Reitmeier teaches after determining a currently selected video input:

means (34 – Fig. 1) for utilizing information generated from a previous full channel search (p. 8, line 31 – p. 9, line 4, p. 9, lines 20-25, p. 10, lines 5-9).

However, Reitmeier fails to teach that a user selects whether an RF television video input is coupled to a cable video signal source or an antenna video signal source.

In an analogous art, Wugofski teaches that the user selects through a remote control device (24 – Fig. 1A) whether s/he desires to select content from an RF receiver (antenna video signal source; 14 – Fig. 1A) or a digital receiver (cable video signal source; 16 – Fig. 1A) [p. 6, lines 20-30, p. 7, lines 22-25] for the advantage of providing the user with the option of choosing the source of video programming.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Reitmeier's invention to include that the user selects through a remote control device whether he desires to select content from an RF

Art Unit: 2623

receiver or a digital receiver, as taught by Wugofski, for the advantage of providing the user with the option of choosing the source of video programming.

As for claims 4, 8, and 12, Reitmeier teaches after determining a currently selected video input:

means (70 – Fig. 1) for utilizing information (p. 4, lines 29-31, p. 10, lines 8-14).

However, Reitmeier fails to teach that a user selects whether a video input or television signal is coupled to a cable video signal source or an antenna video signal source.

In an analogous art, Wugofski teaches that the user selects through a remote control device (24 – Fig. 1A) whether he desires to select content from an RF receiver (antenna video signal source; 14 – Fig. 1A) or a digital receiver (cable video signal source; 16 – Fig. 1A) [p. 6, lines 20-30, p. 7, lines 22-25] for the advantage of providing the user with the option of choosing the source of video programming.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Reitmeier's invention to include that the user selects through a remote control device whether he desires to select content from an RF receiver or a digital receiver, as taught by Wugofski, for the advantage of providing the user with the option of choosing the source of video programming.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumaiya A. Chowdhury whose telephone number is (571) 272-8567. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAC



JOHN MILLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600